

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/530,309		BAKRI, BAN AL	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jaime M. Holliday	2617	

All Participants:

(1) Jaime M. Holliday.

(2) Brian Mancini (39288).

Date of Interview: 8 June 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 JOSEPH FEILD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed claim language of independent claim 1. Attorney explained invention , and Examiner suggested that amending the claim, in particular the first limitation (forwarding all connections directly from the first communication system to the second communication system), would make the claim clear and concise with regards to the present invention..